

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

MARCIANO PLATA, et al.,  
  
Plaintiffs,  
  
v.  
  
EDMUND G. BROWN JR., et al.,  
  
Defendants.

NO. C01-1351 TEH  
ORDER RE: COURT EXPERTS

The court experts are currently under order to “proceed as quickly as possible to complete their evaluations of individual prisons” that have received overall third-round Office of Inspector General (“OIG”) scores of 85% or greater or, at their and the Receiver’s discretion, prisons that have received overall scores of between 75% and 85% in any round of the OIG inspections. Apr. 18, 2013 Order at 3. The Court now suspends that requirement.


Having reviewed the Receiver’s most recent tri-annual report and discussed the issue with both the Receiver and the court experts, and having given careful consideration to how best to move this case forward and ensure sustainable reforms, the Court finds that the experts should re-direct their focus from individual institution evaluations towards more systemwide problems. To that end, the court experts are directed to assist headquarters, regional, and facility staff in correcting the systemic issues that the experts have identified in their first ten reports. In addition, because a meaningful, independent system of evaluating the quality of care is critical to ensuring sustainability of the reforms implemented through this case, the experts are further directed to work with the OIG (1) to refine the OIG’s inspection instrument so that it becomes an adequate and sustainable mechanism, either standing alone or in connection with internal audits already developed by the Receiver’s office, for monitoring the quality of inmate medical care, and (2) to ensure that OIG staff are fully qualified and capable of conducting meaningful evaluations of inmate medical care.

1 The court experts and the Receiver shall explore alternate means of ensuring meaningful,  
2 independent, and sustainable evaluations if it becomes apparent that the OIG is unable to  
3 develop or is incapable of implementing such a system. Regardless of which entity performs  
4 the evaluations, the Court expects clinical staff who monitor the quality of care to meet the  
5 minimum requirements to work as primary care physicians or nurses in California’s adult  
6 prisons, including that physicians be board certified or board eligible in family practice or  
7 internal medicine.

8 The Receiver shall work with the court experts to determine a reasonable schedule for  
9 the experts’ work. Defendants shall continue to pay the experts through the Court registry  
10 using the existing procedure for processing invoices.

11  
12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13  
14 Dated: 03/11/14

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THELTON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28